



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/585,617	07/11/2006	Katsunori Mineno	2006_1046A	7764
513 7590 12/08/2009 WENDEROTH, LIND & PONACK, L.L.P. 1030 15th Street, N.W., Suite 400 East Washington, DC 20005-1503				
EXAMINER				
LIU, HENRY Y				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
3657				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
12/08/2009		PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/585,617

Applicant(s)

MINENO ET AL.

Examiner

HENRY LIU

Art Unit

3657

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 October 2009.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 4-7 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 4-7 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SF/ICE)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) ☐ Other: _____

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 4-7 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 4, 5, 6, and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being anticipated by TANAKA2 (JP 2000-266144) in view of SIRVEN (4,749,068).

Regarding Claim 4, TANAKA2 teaches "an auto-tensioner (1) for engine accessories, comprising: a cylinder (12) having an open top end; a sleeve (7) having a bottom and inserted in said cylinder (12); a seal member (9) mounted to said cylinder at said open top end thereof to prevent leakage of hydraulic oil in said cylinder (12); a rod (31) slidably extending through said seal member (9); a plunger (31 lower portion) connected to a bottom end of said rod (31) so as to be slidable in said sleeve along an axial direction, said plunger (31) defining a pressure chamber (18) below said plunger in

said cylinder, and said plunger defining a reservoir chamber (17) (11) above said plunger in said cylinder such that, with hydraulic oil present in said reservoir chamber (17) (11), an air gap is present in said reservoir chamber between the hydraulic oil and said seal member (9) (Fig. 1, 2).” When the oil in chamber (17) (11) flows out through passage (11), there is an air gap between the sealing member (9) and the hydraulic oil.

TANAKA2 teaches “a plunger having a passage (33) through which said pressure chamber communicates with said reservoir chamber (17) (11) (Fig. 3, Fig. 4); a check valve (35) provided at said passage to close said passage when a pressure in said pressure chamber (18) exceeds a pressure in said reservoir chamber (17) (11) (Fig. 3); and a return spring (22) mounted around said cylinder (12) to bias said rod (31) outwardly of said cylinder (12); wherein a minute oil leak gap (14) is formed between sliding surfaces of said sleeve (7) and said plunger (31) such that hydraulic oil can flow from said pressure chamber into said reservoir chamber via said minute oil leak gap (14).”

TANAKA2 teaches “said minute oil leak gap (14) having a cross-sectional area, in a cross section perpendicular to the axial direction, substantially smaller than a cross-sectional area, in a cross section perpendicular to the axial direction, of said passage (33) (Fig. 3, Fig. 4).”

TANAKA2 does not teach “wherein a return chamber is defined under said sleeve so as to communicate with said reservoir chamber, said bottom of said sleeve being formed with a valve hole through which said return chamber communicates with

said pressure chamber; and wherein a relief valve is provided at said valve hole to open said valve hole if the pressure in said pressure chamber exceeds a set pressure.”

SIRVEN teaches a return chamber (49) defined under said sleeve so as to communicate with said reservoir chamber (2b), said bottom of said sleeve being formed with a valve hole (51) through which said return chamber (49) communicates with said pressure chamber (2a); and wherein a relief valve (41) is provided at said valve hole (49) to open said valve hole (51) if the pressure in said pressure chamber (2a) exceeds a set pressure.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the auto-tensioner in TANAKA2 with the return chamber and relief valve in SIRVEN to allow the auto-tensioner to be compressed quickly under a large force to prevent damage to itself or to the belt drive system in the case of a belt drive malfunction.

Regarding Claim 5, TANAKA2 as modified teaches “wherein said return chamber (SIRVEN (49)) communicates with said reservoir chamber (SIRVEN (2b)) through at least one axial groove (SIRVEN (33)) formed in a surface between said sleeve (SIRVEN (2) (7)) and said cylinder (SIRVEN (8)).”

Regarding Claim 6, TANAKA2 as modified teaches "wherein the surface in which said at least one axial groove (SIRVEN (33)) is formed is an outer peripheral surface of said sleeve (SIRVEN (2) (7) (Fig. 1-3))."

Regarding Claim 7, TANAKA2 as modified teaches "wherein said seal member (TANAKA2 (9)) is interposed radially between said rod (TANAKA (31)) and said cylinder (TANAKA2 (12)) so as to seal a radial gap between said rod and said cylinder (TANAKA2 (12) (Fig. 1))."

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to HENRY LIU whose telephone number is (571) 270-7018. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thurs 7:30am - 5:00pm ET.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, ROBERT SICONOLFI can be reached on (571) 272-7124. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/HENRY LIU/
Examiner, Art Unit 3657

/Robert A. Siconolfi/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art
Unit 3657